

## ON SUMS OF FOURIER COEFFICIENTS OF CUSP FORMS

ALEKSANDAR IVIĆ

The aim of this note is to evaluate asymptotically the sum

$$(1) \quad F(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} f(n^2)$$

in case  $f(n)$  is the Fourier coefficient of a holomorphic or non-holomorphic cusp form. We shall first deal with the latter case, which is more complicated. Let as usual  $\{\lambda_j = \kappa_j^2 + \frac{1}{4}\} \cup \{0\}$  be the discrete spectrum of the non-Euclidean Laplacian acting on  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -automorphic forms. Further let  $\rho_j(n)$  denote the  $n$ -th Fourier coefficient of the Maass wave form  $\varphi_j(z)$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_j$  to which the Hecke series

$$H_j(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_j(n) n^{-s} \quad (\Re s > 1)$$

is attached (see Y. Motohashi [6] for an extensive account). For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $\rho_j(n) = \rho_j(1)t_j(n)$ , so that one may consider sums of  $t_j(n)$  instead of sums of  $\rho_j(n)$ . In [4] T. Meurman and the author proved that, for  $\kappa_j \leq x^{1-\alpha}$ , we have uniformly in  $\kappa_j$

$$(2) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} t_j^2(n) = \frac{12x}{\pi^2 \alpha_j} + O_\varepsilon(x^\varepsilon R(x))$$

with

$$(3) \quad R(x) := \kappa_j^{\frac{1}{2-2\alpha}} x^{\frac{1}{2}} + \min \left( \kappa_j^{\frac{1+10\alpha}{3+6\alpha}} x^{\frac{1}{2}} + x^{\frac{3+6\alpha}{5}}, \kappa_j^{-1} x^{1+2\alpha} \right).$$

Here as usual

$$(4) \quad \alpha_j = \frac{|\rho_j(1)|^2}{\cosh(\pi \kappa_j)},$$

and  $\alpha (\geq 0)$  is the constant for which

$$(5) \quad t_j(n) \ll_\varepsilon n^{\alpha+\varepsilon}$$

holds uniformly in  $\kappa_j$ . Moreover,  $\varepsilon$  denotes arbitrarily small positive constants, not necessarily the same ones at each occurrence, while  $\ll_\varepsilon$  means that the  $\ll$ -constant depends on  $\varepsilon$ . The accent in (2)-(3) is on uniformity in  $\kappa_j$ , since in many applications  $\kappa_j$  may vary with  $x$ . It is known that (5) holds with  $\alpha \leq \frac{5}{28}$  (see D. Bump et al. [1]). In what concerns the order of  $\alpha_j$ , we have

$$(6) \quad \kappa_j^{-\varepsilon} \ll_\varepsilon \alpha_j \ll_\varepsilon \kappa_j^\varepsilon.$$

---

2001 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11F72, Secondary 11M06.

*Key words and phrases:* Fourier coefficients of cusp forms, Ramanujan-Petersson conjecture, Riemann zeta-function

The lower bound in (6) was proved by H. Iwaniec [5], and the upper bound by Hoffstein–Lockhart [2].

It seems that there are no upper bounds in the literature for the sum  $F(x)$  in (1) when  $f(n) = t_j(n)$ . We shall prove

**THEOREM 1.** *For  $\kappa_j \leq x^c$ ,*

$$(7) \quad 0 < c < \min \left( \frac{3+6\alpha}{2+20\alpha}, 1-\alpha \right)$$

*and a suitable constant  $A > 0$  we have, uniformly in  $\kappa_j$ ,*

$$(8) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} t_j(n^2) \ll \alpha_j^{-1} x \exp \left( -A \log^{3/5} x (\log \log x)^{-1/5} \right).$$

**Corollary 1.** With the value  $\alpha \leq \frac{5}{28}$  it follows that (8) holds uniformly in  $\kappa_j$  for  $\kappa_j \leq x^c$ , and any constant  $c$  satisfying  $0 < c < \frac{57}{78}$ .

**Corollary 2.** If the Ramanujan-Petersson conjecture that  $\alpha = 0$  is true, then (8) holds uniformly in  $\kappa_j$  for  $\kappa_j \leq x^c$ , and any constant  $0 < c < 1$ .

**Remark 1.** The negative exponential in (8) comes from the sharpest known error term in the prime number theorem in the form (see e.g., [3, Chapter 12])

$$(9) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) \ll x \exp \left( -C \log^{3/5} x (\log \log x)^{-1/5} \right) \quad (C > 0).$$

Sharper forms of the prime number theorem, which would follow from a better zero-free region for the Riemann zeta-function  $\zeta(s)$  than the one that is currently known (see [3, Chapter 6]), would therefore lead to a better estimate than (8).

**Remark 2.** By a result of T. Meurman and the author [4] one has

$$\sum_{n \leq x} t_j(n) \ll_{\varepsilon} \kappa_j^{1+\varepsilon}$$

uniformly for  $\sqrt{x} < \kappa_j \leq x$ , which may be compared to the bound in (8).

**Remark 3.** The oscillatory nature of the function  $t_j(n)$  accounts for the lack of a main term in (8). However, if one looks at the problem of evaluating  $F(x)$  in (1) when  $f(n) = d(n)$ , the number of divisors of  $n$ , then there will be a main term in the corresponding formula for the summatory function. Namely the function  $d(n^2)$  is generated by  $\zeta^3(s)/\zeta(2s)$ , which has a pole of order three at  $s = 1$ . Consequently we have (see [3, eq. (14.29)]), for suitable constants  $B_1 (> 0), B_2, B_3, C (> 0)$ ,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} d(n^2) = x(B_1 \log^2 x + B_2 \log x + B_3) + O \left( x \exp \left( -C \log^{3/5} x (\log \log x)^{-1/5} \right) \right).$$

**Proof of Theorem 1.** From the multiplicative property (see [6, eq. (3.2.8)])

$$t_j(mn) = \sum_{d|(m,n)} \mu(d) t_j \left( \frac{m}{d} \right) t_j \left( \frac{n}{d} \right),$$

where  $\mu(n)$  is the Möbius function, one has

$$(10) \quad t_j(n^2) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) t_j^2\left(\frac{n}{d}\right).$$

Therefore (10) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} t_j(n^2) &= \sum_{mn \leq x} \mu(m) t_j^2(n) \\ &= \sum_{m \leq \sqrt{x}} \mu(m) \sum_{n \leq x/m} t_j^2(n) + \sum_{n \leq \sqrt{x}} t_j(n^2) \sum_{\sqrt{x} < m \leq x/n} \mu(m) \\ &= \sum_1 + \sum_2, \end{aligned}$$

say. We set for brevity

$$\eta(x) = (\log x)^{3/5} (\log \log x)^{-1/5},$$

and let  $0 < \beta < 1$ ,  $\beta = \beta(\alpha)$  be such a number for which (2)-(3) give

$$(11) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} t_j^2(n) = \frac{12x}{\pi^2 \alpha_j} + O(x^\beta)$$

uniformly for  $\kappa_j \leq x^c$ . If  $C$  denotes generic positive constants, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1 &= \frac{12x}{\pi^2 \alpha_j} \sum_{m \leq \sqrt{x}} \frac{\mu(m)}{m} + O\left(\sum_{m \leq \sqrt{x}} \left(\frac{x}{m}\right)^\beta\right) \\ &= \frac{12x}{\pi^2 \alpha_j} \sum_{m > \sqrt{x}} \frac{\mu(m)}{m} + O(x^\beta x^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}}) \\ &\ll \alpha_j^{-1} x e^{-C\eta(x)}, \end{aligned}$$

since in view of  $0 < \beta < 1$  and the upper bound in (6) we have

$$x^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}} \ll \alpha_j^{-1} x e^{-C\eta(x)}.$$

Here we also used (9), partial summation and the well-known fact that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(m)}{m} = 0$$

to deduce that

$$(12) \quad \sum_{m > \sqrt{x}} \frac{\mu(m)}{m} \ll \exp(-C\eta(x)).$$

We also have, on using (9) and (11),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_2 &\ll \sum_{n \leq \sqrt{x}} t_j^2(n) \left( \frac{x}{n} e^{-C\eta(x/n)} + \sqrt{x} e^{-C\eta(x)} \right) \\ &\ll e^{-C\eta(x)} \left( x \sum_{n \leq \sqrt{x}} \frac{t_j^2(n)}{n} + \sqrt{x} \sum_{n \leq \sqrt{x}} t_j^2(n) \right) \\ &\ll \alpha_j^{-1} x e^{-C\eta(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now note that for  $\kappa_j \leq x^c$ ,  $c < 1 - \alpha$  we have

$$\kappa_j^{\frac{1}{2-2\alpha}} x^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq x^\beta, \quad \beta = \frac{c}{2-2\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \quad (< 1).$$

Moreover

$$\frac{1+10\alpha}{3+6\alpha} c < \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } c < \frac{3+6\alpha}{2+20\alpha},$$

and  $\frac{3+6\alpha}{5} < 1$ . Hence (11) is satisfied if  $\kappa_j \leq x^c$  and  $c$  is any constant satisfying (7). This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.

The foregoing analysis may be applied to the case of a holomorphic cusp form

$$\varphi(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) e^{2\pi i n z}$$

of weight  $\kappa$  with respect to  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ . If  $\varphi(z)$  is a normalized eigenform, i.e., an eigenfunction with respect to all Hecke operators and satisfies  $a(1) = 1$ , then all  $a(n) \in \mathbb{R}$ . We have (see e.g., R.A. Rankin [7] and [8])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) n^{-s} = \prod_p (1 - a(p) p^{-s} + p^{\kappa-1-2s})^{-1} \quad (\sigma > \frac{1}{2}(\kappa + 1)),$$

and

$$(15) \quad a(m)a(n) = \sum_{d|(m,n)} d^{\kappa-1} a\left(\frac{mn}{d^2}\right) \quad (m, n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

If we introduce the “normalized” function  $\tilde{a}(n)$ , namely

$$(16) \quad a(n) = \tilde{a}(n) n^{\frac{\kappa-1}{2}},$$

then we can write (15) as

$$(17) \quad \tilde{a}(m)\tilde{a}(n) = \sum_{d|(m,n)} \tilde{a}\left(\frac{mn}{d^2}\right) \quad (m, n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

When  $m = n$ , (17) gives by the Möbius inversion formula

$$(18) \quad \tilde{a}(n^2) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \left( \tilde{a}\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \right)^2,$$

which is analogous to (10). If we use (18), the Rankin-Selberg formula

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \tilde{a}^2(n) = Cx + O(x^{3/5}) \quad (C > 0),$$

then by employing the method of proof of Theorem 1 we shall obtain

**THEOREM 2.** *We have*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \tilde{a}(n^2) \ll x \exp\left(-C(\log x)^{3/5}(\log \log x)^{-1/5}\right) \quad (C > 0).$$

From Theorem 2 we obtain by partial summation, on using (16),

**Corollary 3.** *We have*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} a(n^2) \ll x^\kappa \exp\left(-C(\log x)^{3/5}(\log \log x)^{-1/5}\right) \quad (C > 0).$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] D. Bump, W. Duke, J. Hoffstein and H. Iwaniec, An estimate for Fourier coefficients of Maass wave forms, *Duke Math. J.* **66**(1992), 75-81.
- [2] J. Hoffstein and P. Lockhart, Coefficients of Maass forms and the Siegel zero, *Annals of Math.* **140**(1994), 161-176.
- [3] A. Ivić, *The Riemann zeta-function*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1985.
- [4] A. Ivić and T. Meurman, Sums of coefficients of Hecke series, *Acta Arith.* **68**(1994), 341-368.
- [5] H. Iwaniec, Small eigenvalues of the Laplacian for  $\Gamma_0(N)$ , *Acta Arith.* **56** (1990), 65-82.
- [6] Y. Motohashi, *Spectral theory of the Riemann zeta-function*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [7] R.A. Rankin, *Modular forms and functions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1977.
- [8] R.A. Rankin, Fourier coefficients of cusp forms, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **100**(1986), 5-29.

ALEKSANDAR IVIĆ

KATEDRA MATEMATIKE RGF-A

UNIVERSITETA U BEOGRADU, ĐUŠINA 7,

11000 BEOGRAD, SERBIA (YUGOSLAVIA)

e-mail: aivic@matf.bg.ac.yu, aivic@rgf.bg.ac.yu